


Joan Duhigg

VN: I would like the war to be over, in an ^{admirable} way — not just pull out...
not just withdraw... Should be a negot. sol....

Not too much info. (Not enough?) On that I don't know.

(Saw my book; didn't pick it up.)



Perhaps McG
should have said...

(Mendes-Fraser)

[McG: There will be a negot. sol. ... I will
send^{it}... It will be honorable —

but I will not be deterred from making
a peace by campaign charges that it is a
sell-out, a betrayal... (which have been
made about every peace we've made")

(? WWII: Japan; (I will not threaten or use escalation).

If not, I would not run for re-election; I
would support candidate of either party who had greatest

Dem Rather, 20 Feb 73

N addresses S. Carolina Legis:
answering:

Wf not 4 years earlier?

Wf not just get POWs back, + get out?

- Honorable end
- Casualties didn't die in vain

("I say — KIAS, men who served, POWs
did not — in vain.")

20 Feb 73

Mondale: Nat. sec. qualification

Cranston argues against of qualifications

(Ervin favors limited law, applying
only to Fed, not states)

Will you / have you inter(d)?
Help?

6 Nov

Election - even speeches:

1. Why McG ^(was) may be right about N hoop.
- 1967-72 hoop by N.

- remaining myth: self-determination as US in
actual support for T

Why: colonial policy
(NYT 5 Nov)

2. Why McG could be wrong:

Old Rule 1

- applied to Wallace (ELK, '69, out)

- N as (a) first loss dealt

(b) first to be without ^{after} right-wing threat
[RFK as COJ's right-wing threat
in '64, along with Goldwater; like
55+ in '48, Dewey + Thompson!]

- Tactics w/out election

- Success of "Porting shot" tactics. [But in 1965- no
stars above!]

3. What did (a) N, (b) Hawaii learn, 1969-72?

What changed?

4. What should "we" have learned?

What to do?

5. Civil liberties. [Rule w/out Hoops; Obstly] TYRANNY

8-525

- Bonding at highest point of war
(as it is "limited" below 20th 11)

Compare: March - Nov 68

68-72

Since PP?


- How evaluate DRV values and expectations
as of '69-'71, w+ difference between
terms - and - expectations in '72 vs. evolution they
could have achieved "peacefully" in '69?

- Meaning of N Plan (vs. McG), w+ T.
and N rhetoric

|| The issue remains: War (or Peace) → US-supported
War → US bombing)

or Peace

If there is no Peace — press must come to terms
with its role, and that of HAK, in last weeks before
election.



Sharon
David B. Mooers
~~Walter~~ ~~Moore~~

3/5

ACLU 471-2821

202 Crawford Ave.



(Talk with Noon Chandy)
Oct '72

Under changed conditions — Com
advance, ARVN weakness — Com can
accept ceasefire — before political

breakdown is not coming in, since May
offensive — not even oil. (Perhaps
power of offensive).

NC guesses: both sides want a
settlement, but it will break
down

NVN scared of 4 more years of
V — hopes to get a settlement before election

Before, Cons said coalition must

Laconture said there was a
settlement, it was all over,

^m DRV reps

Viet Report, in 1967, on Chi.

Sam Halper - 556-2249

Jim

Mike McClean

NYT

28 Oct 72

Half-million civs killed or injured
in North

NVN Troop losses about a million
(SVN - ~200,000 :)

Casualties on both sides > 4 million

